TATYANA B. LEONOVA

Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 123 Profsoyuznaya, Moscow 117647, Russia

LEONOVA, T. B., 1998:11:30. Permian ammonoids of Russia and Australia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria 110(1/2): 157-162. ISSN 0035-9211.

Comparison of the Asselian, Sakmarian, Artinskian, Kungurian and Roadian ammonoid assemblages from the Urals, Novaya Zemlya, North-East of Russia and from Western and Eastern Australia is done. The maximum resemblance between the ammonoid assemblages of these regions occurred in the Sakmarian. After this time the differences became greater, some typical Tethyan taxa appeared in Australian basins. Presence of the genus *Daubichites* allows the correlation of the host rocks in Western Australia and Novaya Zemlya with the Roadian.

RUSSIA and Australia are known as regions where Permian ammonoids are widespread. Permian palaeobasins of the Urals, Novaya Zemlya and the North-East of Asia occurred to the north of the tropical zone, whereas Permian basins of Western and Eastern Australia occurred to the south of the it. In accordance with the palaeobiogeographic data many workers regard the faunal assemblages of these regions as moderately warm elimatic zones. The majority of workers who studied ammonoid faunas of Australia and Russia over the last six decades recognised many common features in the taxonomic eomposition and morphology of both faunas.

Other regions where Permian ammonoids are also found are not considered in the present paper since they are referred to the Tethyan and North American palaeogeographic realms. The comparison with these faunas is beyond the scope of the present study since the assemblages of different climatic zones are strikingly different.

This paper focuses on the comparison and analysis of the existing data on Permian ammonoids from Russia and Australia to reveal their similarities and differences and their correlative potential.

ASSELIAN

(Table 1)

The ammonoid assemblages of this age are recognised only from Russia (the Urals and the North-East of Russia). Ruzhencev (1952) and Bogoslovskaya et al. (1995) reported 23 genera with more than 30 species from the Asselian rocks of the Urals. These are *Boesites*, *Metapronorites*, *Neopronorites*, *Sakmarites*, *Daixites*, *Artinskia*, *Shikhanites*, *Aristoceras*, *Prothalassoceras*, *Aga-thiceras*, *Eoasianites*, *Neoglaphyrites*, *Neoaganides*, *Somoholites*, *Preshumardites*, *Glaphyrites*, *Svetlan-*

Ammonoid genera	Urals	North-East of Russia
Aristoceras	+	
Neoglaphyrites	+	
Boesites	+	
Daixites	+	
Prothalassoceras	+	
Glaphyrites	+	
Emilites	+	
Artinskia	+	
Shikhanites	+	
Protopopanoceras	+	
Svetlanoceras	+	
Sakmarites	+	
Almites	+	
Prostacheoceras	+	
Metapronorites	+	+
Eoasianites	+	+
Neoaganites	+	+
Somoholites	+	+
Preshumardites	+	+
Neopronorites	+	+
Agathiceras	+	+
Juresanites	+	+
Tabantalites	+	+
Bulunites		+

Table 1. Asselian ammonoid assemblage.

oceras, Juresanites, Almites, Emilites, Tabantalites, Prostacheoceras and Protopopanoceras. (Here and further on the names of the genera that appeared during the time span under consideration are in bold italics.) Many of the above taxa continued from the Carboniferous. However, the first representatives of the three families, Paragastrioceratidae (Svetlanoceras), Metalegoceratidae (Juresanites), and Popanoceratidae (Protopopanoceras) appeared at the Carboniferous-Permian boundary. The fourth family which indicates the beginning of the Permian, i.e., Perrinitidae, is unknown from the regions under consideration. In addition, new genera appeared in previously existing families. These are Sakmarites, Sikhanites, Prostacheoceras, Tabantalites and Kargalites.

The Asselian assemblage from the North-East of Asia is much less diverse than that of the Uralian region and is represented by only nine genera (Andrianov 1985). These are *Metapronorites*, *Neopronorites*, *Prouddenites*, *Agathiceras*, *Somoholites*, *Preshunardites*, *Juresanites*, *Tabantalites* and *Bulunites*, the last genus being endemic. The similarity of the Uralian and North-Eastern regional assemblages at the generic level is clear, while the species representing these genera in the areas under study are also closely similar.

At present no Asselian ammonoids are known from the Permian rocks of Australia.

SAKMARIAN

(Table 2)

A very rich ammonoid assemblage is known from the Sakmarian of the Urals. It includes 27 genera with about 40 species (Ruzheneev 1951, 1952). These are Boesites, Daixites, Metapronorites, Neopronorites, Sakmarites, Synartinskia, Artinskia, Medlicottia, Prothalassoceras, Thalassoceras, Agathiceras, Somoholites, Preshumardites, Glaphyrites, Svetlanoceras, Synuraloeeras, Uraloceras, Paragastrioeeras, Juresanites, Metalegoceras, Parametalegoeeras, Kargalites, Almites, Crimites, Tabantalites, Prostacheoceras and Propopanoeeras. The Sakmarian ammonoid assemblage from the Urals includes 10 first appearances of genera which are more phylogenetically advanced than the Asselian taxa. The genus Kargalites is recorded only from the Sakmarian of the Urals, whereas in other regions it is found in the Asselian. The species of pre-existing genera are phylogenetically more advanced forms.

In the North-East of Asia the Sakmarian ammonoid assemblage is represented by eight species (Andrianov 1985). These are Metapronorites, Neopronorites, Somoholites, Preslumardites, Eoasianites, Bulunites, Paragastrioeeras and Uraloceras. The appearance of Paragastrioceras and Uraloceras among the pre-existing Late Carboniferous-Asselian genera allows the correlation of the host rocks with the synchronous Uralian ones, where newly appeared generic taxa also occur with continued earlier genera.

Sakmarian ammonoids in Australia are recognised from the western sedimentary basins (Perth, Carnarvon and Canning) and are represented by

Ammonoid genera	Urals	North-East of Russia	Western Australia	Eastern Australia
Boesites	+			
Daixites	+			
Prothalassoceras	+			
Glaphyrites	+			
Emilites	+			
Sakmarites	+			
Tabantalites	+			
Almites	+			
Synartinskia	+			
Synuraloceras	+			
Parametalegoceras	+			
Artinskia	+			
Kargalites	+			
Prostacheoceras	+			
Crimites	+			
Medlicottia	+			
Somoholites	+	+		
Preshumardites	+	+		
Neopronorites	+	+		
Agathiceras	+	+		
Paragastrioceras	+	+		
Uraloceras	+	+	?+	
Svetlanoceras	+		?+	
Juresanites	+		+	
Propopanoceras	+		+	
Thalassoceras	+		+	
Metalegoceras	+		+	
Metapronorites		+		
Eoasianites		+		
Bulunites		+		

Table 2. Sakmarian ammonoid assemblage.

six genera (Archbold & Dickins 1996). These are Svetlanoceras (or Uraloeeras) irwinense (one species), Juresanites jacksoni (one species), Metalegoeeras (three or four species), ?Mescalites, Thalassoeeras wadei (one species), Propopanoceras ruzhencevi (one species). All these genera except for Mescalites are known from the Sakmarian of the Urals. The dating is confirmed by the level of evolutionary development of the species of the above genera. The present author considers that Uraloceras irwinense Teichert & Glenister, 1952 which was redescribed by Glenister (1990a) as Svetlanoceras invinense (transitional to Uraloceras) although showing transitional features fits more closely the diagnosis of Uraloceras, including the relative whorl height, overlap degree and the width of the ventral prongs (all features observable in Glenister et al. 1990a: table 1, fig. 2: 1, 4, 5, 7-9; fig. 3: 1, 2, 5).

ARTINSKIAN

(Table 3)

Artinskian rocks in the Urals contain 23 ammonoid genera (Ruzheneev 1956; Bogoslovskaya 1962). These are Daraelites, Neopronorites, Sakmarites, Artinskia, Medlicottia, Aktubinskia, Artioceras, Agathiceras, Thalassoceras, Propinacoceras, Paragastrioceras, Uraloceras, Neoshumardites, Metalegoceras, Eothinites, Kargalites, Almites, Cardiella, Crimites, Neocrimites, Waagenina, Prostacheoceras and Popanoceras. The genera Aktubinskia, Agathiceras and Neoshumardites are restricted to the lower substage, whereas Propinacoceras, Eothinites, Waagenina and Neocrimites to the upper substage. Each substage contains different species of the above genera and different proportions of the number of individuals (Ruzhencev 1956).

From the Artinskian of the North-East of Asia Andrianov (1985) reported Metapronorites, Neopronorites, Neoshumardites, Metalegoceras, Para-

Ammonoid genera	Urals	North-East of Russia		
Artinskia	+			
Agathiceras	+			
Sakmarites	+			
Almites	+			
Prostacheoceras	+			
Crimites	+			
Medlicottia	+			
Aktubinskia	+			
Artioceras	+			
Eothinites	+			
Waagenina	+			
Daraelites	+			
Popanoceras	+			
Cardiella	+			
Kargalites	+			
Thalassoceras	+			
Propinacoceras	+			
Neopranorites	+	+		
Paragastrioceras	+	+		
Neoshumardites	+	+		
Metalegoceras	+	+	+	
Uraloceras	+	+		+
Neocrimites	+		2+	2+
Eotumaroceras		+		
Tumaroceras		+		
Bamyaniceras			+	
Aricoceras			?+	2+
Pseudoshistoceras			+	• •
Gobioceras				+

Table 3. Artinskian ammonoid assemblage.

gastrioceras, Uraloceras, Eotumaroceras and Tumaroceras. The last two genera appeared only in the Artinskian and were endemic. Generally this ammonoid assemblage allows precise correlations with the Artinskian of the Urals.

According to several authors (Teichert 1942; Teichert & Fletcher 1943; Glenister & Furnish 1961; Coekbain 1980; Archbold & Dickins 1996; et al.) the Artinskian in Australia contains Pseudoschistoceras, Bamyaniceras, Metalegoceras and Neocrimites (or Aricoceras) (Western Australia) and Gobioceras and Aricoceras Uraloceras, (or Neocrimites) (Eastern Australia). The presence of Uraloceras, Metalegoceras and Neocrimites allows correlation with the Urals, whereas the presence of Bamyaniceras and Aricoceras permits correlation of this assemblage with the Yaktashian and Bolorian of the Tethys. The present author's opinion (Leonova & Bogoslovskaya 1990) that it is more preferable to consider as Aricoceras the forms described as Neocrimites by Teichert & Fletcher (1943) (see also Glenister & Furnish 1961), For the genus Neocrimites, the most characteristic feature is the relationship of width to diameter which is always above 1.0 and can reach 1.6-1.8 (as in Neocrimites pavlovi). But for the holotype of Australian 'Neocrimites' the ratio recorded is only 0.63 (Glenister & Furnish 1961: 730). Already in the Artinskian the ammonoid fauna of Australia is considerably different from the Uralian and North-Eastern Asian assemblages of Russia.

KUNGURIAN

(Table 4)

Kungurian rocks of the Urals are known to contain an impoverished ammonoid assemblage indicating

Ammonoid genera	Urals	North-East of Russia		
Medlicottia	+			
Thalassoceras	+			
Uraloceras	+			
Neopronorites	+	+		
Tumaroceras	+	+		
Epijuresanites	+	+		
Paragastrioceras	+	+	+	
Neouddenites		+		
Baraioceras		+		
Bamyaniceras			+	
Aricoceras				+

Table 4. Kungurian ammonoid assemblage.

a shallow water basin with increasing abnormal salinity. According to Bogoslovskaya (1976) only a few species including Neopronorites, Thalassoceras, Paragastrioceras and Uraloceras may positively be determined from the Kungurian of the Middle Urals. According to the most recent data, Medlicottia postorbignyana and Tumaroceras dignum are recognised from the more northern regions of the Urals (Pai-Khoi) and Epijuresanites vaigachensis from Vaigach Island (Bogoslovskaya 1997). At present there are seven genera and nine species constituting the Kungurian assemblage of the Middle and Northern Urals. Bogoslovskaya (1997) has indicated that the more advanced new species of Medlicottia, and the first discovery of representatives of genera Tumaroceras and Epijuresanites in this region. These data allow precise correlation with the Kungurian of the North-East of Russia.

Kungurian rocks of the North-East of Russia represented by the normally marine facies and salinity contain a richer ammonoid assemblage including *Neopronorites*, *Neouddenites*, *Paragastrioceras*, *Tumaroceras*, *Epijuresanites* and *Baraioceras* (Andrianov 1985). Two of these genera are endemic, although the appearance of the genus *Epijuresanites*, representing a new family *Spirolegoceratidae*, distinctly separates this stage from the previous. The presence of the genera *Paragastrioceras*, *Tumaroceras* and *Epijuresanites* permits precise correlation both of these regions.

Only preliminary conclusions may be made regarding the Kungurian ammonoids from Australia since Cockbain (1980) correlated the Kungurian with the Roadian whereas Archbold & Dickins (1996) recognised that the Ufimian-Roadian were post-Kungurian which has resulted in some problems in the dating of ammonoid-bearing rocks. Apparently, the assemblage includes Uraloceras pokolbiense, Aricoceras meridionale and Gobioceras lobulatum from Eastern Australia, and Paragastrioceras wandageense and Bamyaniceras australe from Western Australia, i.e. the genera that also occur in the Artinskian. As was mentioned by Bogoslovskaya (1976) and Archbold & Dickins (1996) Paragastrioceras wandageense Teichert (1942) is closely similar to the Uralian species Paragastrioceras kungurense (Mirskaya, 1948) from the Late Kungurian deposits of the Middle Urals. Re-examination of the Western Australian holotype specimen confirmed this close relationship. The conclusion that the post-Artinskian sediments do not contain Paragastrioceras and Popanoceras species (Cockbain 1980) seems doubtful. Species of these genera as well as those of the genus Aricoceras are known from rocks of Kungurian age and from the Roadian (Kubergandian) (Bogoslovskaya 1976; Leonova & Bogoslovskaya 1990).

ROADIAN

(Table 5)

Late Permian ammonoids are unknown in the Urals. However a representative assemblage is recorded from Novaya Zemlya (Bogoslovskaya et al. 1982). It includes *Daubichites butakovensis*, *Altudoceras boreum*, *Sverdrupites luarkeri* and *S. amundseni* which indicate the Roadian world-wide (Arctic Canada, North-East of Russia, Far East, China, Western Australia).

In the North-East of Russia the Roadian ammonoid assemblage is represented by three genera. These are *Daubichites*, *Sverdrupites*, *Pseudosverdrupites* and *Popanoceras* (Andrianov 1985; Budnikov et al. 1997). This allows the correlation of the host rocks with the Roadian of the above regions.

Ammonoids described from Australia allow the assignment of the host rocks to the Roadian. These are Daubichites goochi, Agathiceras applanatum, Bamyaniceras australe and Popanoceras sp. The genus Daubichites is the most characteristic of the Roadian. The presence of the other taxa does not contradict this assignment, especially if Glenister and Furnish's (1961) observation is noted that the specimen they described belonged to an 'advanced species of Popanoceras'. The present author's opinion is that Agathiceras applanatum has some specific features, that could be considered as characteristic for a Late Permian representative of this genus, such as subparabolic cross-section of whorl and very wide ventral lobe. This species is more similar with the Sicilian species of Agathiceras than with Early Permian ones.

Ammonoid genera	-	North-East of Russia		
Altudoceras	+			
Sverdrupites	+	+		
Daubichites	+	+	+	
Pseudosverdrupites		+		
Popanoceras		+	+	
Bamyaniceras			+	
Agathiceras			+	

Table 5. Roadian ammonoid assemblage.

The youngest species described from the Permian of Western Australia is *Cyclolobus persulcatus* recognised on the basis of a single specimen from Canning Basin, Western Australia (Glenister et al. 1990b). Since such high horizons of the Permian in Russia are known only in the Far East, correlation may be made with the Ljudjansinian Horizon having Capitanian–Dhulfian age. This region is referred to the Tethyan Palaeobiographical Realm.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The review clearly shows that both in Russia and Australia the Lower Permian is better characterised by ammonoids.
- The maximum resemblance between the ammonoid assemblages of 'Boreal' regions of Russia and Australia occurred in the Sakmarian.
- 3. From the Artinskian the differences in the generic composition of synchronous ammonoid assemblages became greater, while the typical Tethyan taxa such as *Bamyaniceras* and *Aricoceras* played an increased role in Australian basins.
- 4. The data on accompanying fossils should be analysed to control the dating of the Kungurian in the Australian sections.
- 5. The genus *Daubichites* play an important role in the correlation since it widely occurrs in the Roadian of the Arctic Realm, Far East, China and Australia.

Due to the absence of the necessary data wide correlations between the remaining part of the Upper Permian sequence are impossible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Department of Geology, University of Western Australia, the Australian Geological Survey Organisation and the Geological Survey of Western Australia kindly provided ammonoid specimens for examination. Prof. N. Archbold, Deakin University, Melbourne, provided assistance for loaning comparable material and suggestions regarding the manuscript. Prof. C. Spinosa provided critical reading of the manuscript. Deakin University, Melbourne, provided financial support during the author's visit to Australia. This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Researches (grant RFBR 97-05-64477). Assistance of these individuals and support from organisations are sincerely appreciated.

REFERENCES

- ANDRIANOV, V. N., 1985. Permskie i nekotorye kamennougol'nye ammonoidei Severo-Vostoka Asii. Nauka. Novosibirsk. 180 pp.
- ARCHBOLD, N. W. & DICKINS, J. M., 1996. Permian (Chart 6). In An Australian Phanerozoic Timescale, G. C. Yong & J. R. Laurie, eds, Oxford University Press, Melbourne. 127-135.
- BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., 1962. Artinskie ammonoidei Srednego Urala. Moscow. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademia nauk SSSR 87: 1-118.
- BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., 1976. Kungurskie ammonoidei Srednego Predural'a. Paleontologicheskii zhurnal, Moseow 4: 43-50.
- BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., 1997. Animonoidei iz permskich otlozhenii Pai-Khoia i ostrova Vaigach. Paleontologicheskii zhurnal, Moseow 6: 23-29.
- BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., USTRITSKII, V. I. & CHERNIAK, G. E., 1982. Permskie ammonoidei Novoi Zemli. Paleontologicheskii zhurnal, Moscow 4: 58-67.
- BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., LEONOVA, T. B. & SIIKOLIN, A. A., 1995. Carboniferous-Permian boundary and ammonoids from the Aidaralash section, Southern Urals. Journal of Paleontology 69(2): 288-301.
- BUDNIKOV, I. V., KLETS, A. G., GRINENKO, V. S. & KUTYGIN, R. V., 1997. Permian deposits of the Barai River, West Verchoyanic. *Permophiles* 30: 26-27.
- COCKBAIN, A. E., 1980. Permian ammonoids from the Carnarvon Basin—a review. In Annual Report of 1979. Geological Survey of Western Australia, 100-105.
- GLENISTER, B. F. & FURNISH, W. M., 1961. The Permian ammonoids of Australia. *Journal of Paleontology* 35(4): 673-736.
- GLENISTER, B. F., BAKER, C., FURNISH, W. M. & THOMAS, G. A., 1990a. Additional Early Permian Cephalopods from Western Australia. Journal of Paleontology 64(3): 392–399.
- GLENISTER, B. F., BAKER, C., FURNISH, W. M. & DICKINS, J. M., 1990b. Late Permian ammonoid cephalopod Cyclolobus from Western Australia. *Journal of Paleontology* 64(3): 399–402.
- LEONOVA, T. B. & BOGOSLOVSKAYA, M. F., 1990. Phylogeneticheskie svyasi v nadsemeistve Adrianitaceae. In Iskopaemye cephalopody, Nauka. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta 243: 87-97.
- MIRSKAYA, M. F., 1948. Ammonoidei nizhnepermskikh otlozhenii Molotovskogo Priuralja. Trudy Estestvenno-Nauchnogo Institutta pri Molotovskom Gosudarstvennom Universitete 10(2): 107-114.
- RUZHENCEV, V. E., 1951. Nizhnepermskie ammonity Yuzhnogo Urala. I. Annmonity Sakmarskogo yarusa, Moscow. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademia nauk SSSR 33: 1–188.
- RUZHENCEV, V. E., 1952. Biostratigraphia Sakmarskogo yarusa v Aktyubinskoi oblasti Kazakhskoi SSR, Moscow. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademia nauk SSSR 42: 1-90.

- RUZHENCEV, V. E., 1956. Nizhnepermskie ammonity Yuzhnogo Urala. 11. Ammonity Artinskogo yarusa, Moseow. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademia nauk SSSR 60: 1-276.
- TEICHERT, C., 1942. Permian ammonoids from Western Australia. Journal of Paleontology 16: 221-232.
- TEICHERT, C. & FLETCHER, 1943. A Permian Ammonoid from New South Wales and the Correlation of the Upper Marine series. Australian Museum Records 21: 156–163.
- TEICHERT, C. & GLENISTER, B. F., 1952. Lower Permian Ammonoids from the Irwin Basin, Western Australia. Journal of Paleontology 26: 12-23.